Theory of Computation Complexity classes, P, EXP

## Complexity classes

- In other CS classes, we might ask what problems can we solve in a particular runtime (e.g. O(n), O(n<sup>2</sup>), etc.)
- In this class, we are more interested in coarser classifications
  - what problems require the same "level/tier" of resources
  - Which problems can be solved "efficiently"?
  - What problems can't be solved efficiently?

## Complexity classes

- Recall: a language is a set of strings
- Def: a complexity class is a set of *languages*
- We have already seen some complexity classes:
  - REG: the regular languages
  - D: the decidable languages
  - ▶ RE: the recursively enumerable languages
- Some of these classes are bigger than others!



### TIME-based complexity classes

- Let  $T : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be a runtime function
- Def: The class TIME(T(n)) is the set of all languages that can be decided by a machine that runs in O(T(n)) time
- The language L = {0<sup>k</sup>1<sup>k</sup> | k ≥ 0} ∈ TIME(n<sup>2</sup>)
   In fact, L ∈ TIME(n log(n)) see Sipser

### The class P

- We want a working definition what it means for a problem to be solved "efficiently"
- Def: The class P is the set of all languages that can be decided in polynomial time

•  $O(n^c)$  for some constant c

Alternate definition:

$$\mathbf{P} = \bigcup_{c} \mathrm{TIME}(T(n^{c}))$$

In this course, we will use P as a proxy for "tractable" problems

### Length of numeric inputs

- The numeric value of a number isn't the same as the length of its encoding!
- Let's consider the number n = 16
- Unary encoding:  $\langle 16 \rangle = \underbrace{11111111111111111}_{|\langle n \rangle| \in O(n)}$
- **•** Binary encoding:  $\langle 16 \rangle = \underbrace{10000}_{\substack{|\langle n \rangle| \in O(\log(n))\\ n \in O(2^{|\langle n \rangle|})}}$
- An 8-byte unary integer cannot represent numbers bigger than 32!!!
- If the input is in binary (or base 10 or base 16), we have to be careful about runtime analysis

What is the running time of this algorithm?

1. Receive a number  $\langle N \rangle$  as input in binary

2. For 
$$i = 2...(N-1)$$
:

2.1 If N % i == 0, immediately reject

3. If we finish the loop, accept

What is the running time of this algorithm?

- 1. Receive a number  $\langle N \rangle$  as input in binary
- 2. For i = 2...(N-1):

2.1 If N % i == 0, immediately reject

- 3. If we finish the loop, accept
- O(N) loop iterations

What is the running time of this algorithm?

1. Receive a number  $\langle N \rangle$  as input in binary

2. For 
$$i = 2...(N-1)$$
:

2.1 If N % i == 0, immediately reject

- 3. If we finish the loop, accept
- O(N) loop iterations
- $\blacktriangleright |\langle N \rangle| = O(\log(N))$
- $\blacktriangleright N = 2^{|\langle N \rangle|}$
- ► O(2<sup>|⟨N⟩|</sup>) loop iterations!!!
- This is exponential in the length of the input!!!



### The language COPRIMES

 $COPRIMES = \{ \langle x, y \rangle | gcd(x, y) = 1 \}$ 

- We receive two binary numbers as input
- We want to check if they have any common factors (besides 1)
- Naive approach: for i = 1,..., min(x, y), check if i is a common factor, and output the maximum common factor found
- This is O(n) in the value of x and y...
- ...which is  $O(2^n)$  in the *length* of  $\langle x, y \rangle$

# We will use the **Euclidean Algorithm** – possibly the oldest recorded algorithm

- 1. If x < y, swap x and y
- 2. Repeat until y = 0:
  - 2.1  $x \leftarrow x \% y$
  - 2.2 Swap x and y
- 3. If x = 1, accept  $\langle x, y \rangle$ ; otherwise reject



We will use the **Euclidean Algorithm** – possibly the oldest recorded algorithm

- 1. If x < y, swap x and y
- 2. Repeat until y = 0:
  - 2.1  $x \leftarrow x \% y$
  - 2.2 Swap x and y
- 3. If x = 1, accept  $\langle x, y \rangle$ ; otherwise reject **Claim:** This step cuts x in half

Case 1: 
$$y \le \frac{x}{2}$$
. Then  $x \% y < y \le \frac{x}{2}$ 
Case 2:  $y > \frac{x}{2}$ . Then  $x \% y = x - y < \frac{x}{2}$ 

We will use the **Euclidean Algorithm** – possibly the oldest recorded algorithm

1. If 
$$x < y$$
, swap x and y

2. Repeat until y = 0:

2.1 
$$x \leftarrow x \% y$$
  
2.2 Swap x and y

3. If x = 1, accept  $\langle x, y \rangle$ ; otherwise reject

**Claim:** There are  $O(n = |\langle x, y \rangle|)$  loop iterations

- After two iterations, both x and y have been cut in half
- ► The number of times we can cut the input in half is log(max{x, y}) = O(|⟨x, y⟩|)

 $13 \, / \, 39$ 

We will use the **Euclidean Algorithm** – possibly the oldest recorded algorithm

- 1. If x < y, swap x and y
- 2. Repeat until y = 0:

$$2.1 \quad x \leftarrow x \% y$$

- 2.2 Swap x and y
- 3. If x = 1, accept  $\langle x, y \rangle$ ; otherwise reject
- Modular reduction (and other arithmetic) can be calculated in polynomial time
- O(n) loop iterations ×O(n<sup>c</sup>) steps per loop iteration = O(n<sup>c</sup>) ∈ P

### The language UNARY-SUBSET-SUM

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{UNARY-SUBSET-SUM} = \\ & B \text{ is unary} \\ \left\{ \langle B | x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n \rangle | \text{there is a combination of } x_i \text{ (no repeats)} \\ & \text{that add up to B} \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

**Example:** 
$$(31|7, 4, 9, 5, 20)$$
  
**Solution:**  $7 + 4 + 20 = 31\checkmark$ 

**Example:** (101|6, 8, 10)**Solution:** It is impossible; 6 + 8 + 10 = 24 < 101

10

# Which of the following sets are part of UNARY-SUBSET-SUM?

- A.  $\langle 0|1,2,3,4,5\rangle$
- **B.** ⟨13|3, 3, 3⟩
- C.  $\langle 40|13,26,15,24\rangle$
- **D.**  $\langle 45|2, 3, 10, 17, 30 \rangle$

10

Which of the following sets are part of UNARY-SUBSET-SUM?

- A.  $\langle 0|1,2,3,4,5 \rangle$   $\checkmark$
- **B.**  $\langle 13|3,3,3 \rangle$
- **C.**  $\langle 40|13, 26, 15, 24 \rangle$
- **D.**  $\langle 45|2, 3, 10, 17, 30 \rangle$   $\checkmark$

### Technique: dynamic programming

1. 
$$A \leftarrow (n+1) \times (B+1)$$
 matrix.

2. Initialize A[i, 0] to TRUE for all i; Initialize all other elements to FALSE

3. For 
$$i = 1 \dots n$$
:  
3.1 For  $j = 1 \dots B$ :  
3.1.1 If  $A[i - 1, j] = \text{TRUE}$ , or if  $j \ge x_i$  and  
 $A[i - 1, j - x_i] = \text{TRUE}$ , set  $A[i]$  to TRUE  
4. If  $A[n, B] = \text{TRUE}$ , accept  $\langle B, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ .

Otherwise, reject

### Technique: dynamic programming

- 1.  $A \leftarrow (n+1) \times (B+1)$  matrix.
- 2. Initialize A[i, 0] to TRUE for all i; Initialize all other elements to FALSE
- 3. For i = 1 ... n:
  - 3.1 For  $j = 1 \dots B$ :
    - 3.1.1 If A[i-1,j] = TRUE, or if  $j \ge x_i$  and
      - $A[i-1, j-x_i] = \text{TRUE}$ , set A[i] to TRUE
- 4. If A[n, B] = TRUE, accept  $\langle B, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ . Otherwise, reject
- ► O(n) outer loop iterations

### **Technique:** dynamic programming

- 1.  $A \leftarrow (n+1) \times (B+1)$  matrix.
- 2. Initialize A[i, 0] to TRUE for all *i*; Initialize all other elements to FALSE
- 3. For i = 1 ... n: 3.

1 For 
$$j = 1 ... B$$
:

3.1.1 If A[i-1, j] = TRUE, or if  $j \ge x_i$  and  $A[i-1, j-x_i] = \text{TRUE}$ , set A[i] to TRUE

- 4. If A[n, B] = TRUE, accept  $\langle B, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ . Otherwise, reject
- O(n) outer loop iterations
- O(B) inner loop iterations =  $O(|\langle B \rangle|)$  since the input is unary

### Technique: dynamic programming

1. 
$$A \leftarrow (n+1) \times (B+1)$$
 matrix.

2. Initialize A[i, 0] to TRUE for all i; Initialize all other elements to FALSE

3. For 
$$i = 1 \dots n$$
:  
3.1 For  $j = 1 \dots B$ :  
3.1.1 If  $A[i-1,j] = \text{TRUE}$ , or if  $j \ge x_i$  and  
 $A[i-1,j-x_i] = \text{TRUE}$ , set  $A[i]$  to TRUE

- 4. If A[n, B] = TRUE, accept  $\langle B, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ . Otherwise, reject
- O(n) outer loop iterations
- O(B) inner loop iterations = O(|⟨B⟩|) since the input is unary
- ►  $O(B \cdot n) \in \overline{P}$

### The language PATH

### $PATH = \{\langle G, s, t \rangle | G \text{ is a digraph with an s-t path} \}$



#### **Technique:** Perform a *breadth-first search*

- 1. Mark node s
- 2. Repeat the following until now additional nodes are marked
  - 2.1 Scan all edges. If there is an edge (u, v) where u is marked and v is unmarked, mark v

19

3. If t is marked, accept  $\langle G, s, t \rangle$ . Otherwise, reject.

#### Technique: Perform a breadth-first search

- 1. Mark node s
- 2. Repeat the following until now additional nodes are marked
  - 2.1 Scan all edges. If there is an edge (u, v) where u is marked and v is unmarked, mark v

19

- 3. If t is marked, accept  $\langle G, s, t \rangle$ . Otherwise, reject.
- ► *O*(|*V*|) rounds

#### **Technique:** Perform a *breadth-first search*

- 1. Mark node s
- 2. Repeat the following until now additional nodes are marked
  - 2.1 Scan all edges. If there is an edge (u, v) where u is marked and v is unmarked, mark v

19

- 3. If t is marked, accept  $\langle G, s, t \rangle$ . Otherwise, reject.
- O(|V|) rounds
- O(|E|) edge lookups per round

#### **Technique:** Perform a *breadth-first search*

- 1. Mark node s
- 2. Repeat the following until now additional nodes are marked
  - 2.1 Scan all edges. If there is an edge (u, v) where u is marked and v is unmarked, mark v
- 3. If t is marked, accept  $\langle G, s, t \rangle$ . Otherwise, reject.
- O(|V|) rounds
- O(|E|) edge lookups per round
- $\blacktriangleright O(|V| \cdot |E|) \in \mathbf{P}$

1. Mark vertex S



2. Mark all neighbors of S (and their neighbors, and so on)



3. Continue until T gets marked...



4. ...or until we can't mark further



## Logical symbols

AND











Inputs		Output
A	B	С
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

Inputs		Output
A	B	С
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

Input	Output
A	C
0	1
1	0

AND (∧): all inputs must be TRUE
OR (∨): at least one input must be TRUE
NOT (¬): input must be FALSE

Logical symbol practice

Suppose x = TRUE, y = TRUE, z = FALSE. Which of the following expressions are TRUE?

 A) x
 E)  $(x \lor y) \land (y \lor z)$  

 B) z
 F)  $\neg x \lor (\neg y \lor \neg z)$ 

C)  $y \lor z$  G)  $(x \land y) \land (y \land z)$ 

**D)**  $\neg(x \land y)$  **H)**  $(x \lor y) \land (z \lor z \lor z)$ 

Logical symbol practice

Suppose x = TRUE, y = TRUE, z = FALSE. Which of the following expressions are TRUE?

A)  $x \checkmark$ E)  $(x \lor y) \land (y \lor z) \checkmark$ B) zF)  $\neg x \lor (\neg y \lor \neg z)\checkmark$ C)  $y \lor z \checkmark$ G)  $(x \land y) \land (y \land z)$ D)  $\neg (x \land y)$ H)  $(x \lor y) \land (z \lor z \lor z)$ 

Conjunctive Normal Form Def: A Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF) formula is an expression of the following form: 1. Disjunction of several clauses

$$F = C_1 \wedge C_2 \wedge \ldots C_n$$

2. Each clause is conjunction of several variables

$$C_i = (x_{i_1} \vee x_{i_2} \vee \ldots x_{i_n})$$

 Each variable can be either positive x<sub>i</sub> or negative ¬x<sub>i</sub>

#### **Examples:**

$$(x_{1} \lor x_{2} \lor x_{3}) \land (x_{4} \lor x_{5}) \land (x_{1} \lor \neg x_{1}) \land (x_{2} \lor x_{3} \lor x_{4} \lor x_{5} \lor \neg x_{1}) \land (\neg x_{2})$$

$$23 / 39$$

Conjunctive Normal Form

Which of the following expressions are in conjunctive normal form?

A) 
$$(x_1)$$
  
B)  $(x_2)$   
C)  $(\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_1)$   
D)  $\neg (x_1 \lor x_1)$   
E)  $(x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3) \lor (x_4 \land x_5)$   
F)  $(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (x_4 \lor x_5 \lor x_6)$   
G)  $(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \lor (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2)$   
H)  $(x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3) \land (\neg x_1 \land \neg x_2)$ 

Conjunctive Normal Form

Which of the following expressions are in conjunctive normal form?

A) 
$$(x_1) \checkmark$$
  
B)  $(x_2) \checkmark$   
C)  $(\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_1) \checkmark$   
D)  $\neg (x_1 \lor x_1)$   
E)  $(x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3) \lor (x_4 \land x_5)$   
F)  $(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (x_4 \lor x_5 \lor x_6) \checkmark$   
G)  $(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \lor (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2)$   
H)  $(x_1 \land x_2 \land x_3) \land (\neg x_1 \land \neg x_2)$ 

- Def: A truth assignment sets every variable to either TRUE or FALSE
  - ▶ Note: If  $x_i$  is FALSE then  $\neg x_i$  is TRUE
- A CNF clause is satisfied if at least one of its variables is TRUE
- A CNF formula is satisfied if *all* of its clauses are satisfied
- A CNF formula is satisfiable if there exists a satisfying assignment

$$\mathsf{F} = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_1 \lor x_3 \lor x_4) \land (x_2) \land (\neg x_5 \lor \neg x_1)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x_1 = x_4 = x_5 = \mathrm{TRUE} \\ x_2 = x_3 = \mathrm{FALSE} \end{array}$$

#### Which clauses are satisfied?

**A)** 
$$(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3)$$
  
**B)**  $(\neg x_1 \lor x_3 \lor x_4)$   
**C)**  $(x_2)$   
**D)**  $(\neg x_5 \lor \neg x_1)$ 

$$\mathsf{F} = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_1 \lor x_3 \lor x_4) \land (x_2) \land (\neg x_5 \lor \neg x_1)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} x_1 = x_4 = x_5 = \mathrm{TRUE} \\ x_2 = x_3 = \mathrm{FALSE} \end{array}$$

#### Which clauses are satisfied?

**A)** 
$$(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \checkmark$$
  
**B)**  $(\neg x_1 \lor x_3 \lor x_4) \checkmark$   
**C)**  $(x_2)$   
**D)**  $(\neg x_5 \lor \neg x_1)$ 

**CNF** Satisfiability

 $\begin{array}{l} x_1 = x_4 = x_5 = \mathrm{TRUE} \\ x_2 = x_3 = \mathrm{FALSE} \end{array}$ 

Which of the following formulas are satisfied?

**A)** 
$$F = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor \neg x_3) \land (x_4 \lor x_5)$$
  
**B)**  $F = (x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor x_3 \lor \neg x_4) \land (x_5)$   
**C)**  $F = (x_1) \land (x_2) \land (x_3) \land (x_4) \land (x_5)$   
**D)**  $F = (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_5) \land (x_2 \lor x_3)$ 

### **CNF** Satisfiability

$$x_1 = x_4 = x_5 = \text{TRUE}$$
  
 $x_2 = x_3 = \text{FALSE}$ 

Which of the following formulas are satisfied?

**A)** 
$$F = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor \neg x_3) \land (x_4 \lor x_5) \checkmark$$
  
**B)**  $F = (x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor x_3 \lor \neg x_4) \land (x_5) \checkmark$   
**C)**  $F = (x_1) \land (x_2) \land (x_3) \land (x_4) \land (x_5)$   
**D)**  $F = (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_4 \lor x_5) \land (x_2 \lor x_3)$ 

Which of the following formulas are satisfiable?

**A)** 
$$F = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (x_4 \lor x_5 \lor x_6)$$
  
**B)**  $F = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor \neg x_3)$   
**C)**  $F = (x_1) \land (\neg x_2)$   
**D)**  $F = (x_1) \land (\neg x_1)$ 

Which of the following formulas are satisfiable?

**A)** 
$$F = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (x_4 \lor x_5 \lor x_6) \checkmark$$
  
**B)**  $F = (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor \neg x_3) \checkmark$   
**C)**  $F = (x_1) \land (\neg x_2) \checkmark$   
**D)**  $F = (x_1) \land (\neg x_1)$ 

### **CNF** Satisiability

#### Is the following formula satisfiable?

$$(x_1 ee x_3) \land (\neg x_1 ee \neg x_3) \land (x_1 ee x_2) \land (\neg x_1 ee x_3) \land (x_1 ee \neg x_3)$$

$$29 \, / \, 39$$

### **CNF** Satisiability

#### Is the following formula satisfiable?

 $(x_1 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_3) \land (x_1 \lor x_2) \land (\neg x_1 \lor x_3) \land (x_1 \lor \neg x_3)$ 

These four clauses can't all be satisfied!

### The language 2-SAT Def: A 2-CNF Formula is a CNF formula with at

most 2 variables in each clause

$$2\text{-SAT} = \{F|F \text{ is a satisfiable } 2\text{-CNF formula}\}$$

Which of these formulas are in the language 2-SAT?

**A)** 
$$(x_1 \lor x_2) \land (x_3 \lor x_4)$$
  
**B)**  $(x_1 \lor x_1) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_1)$   
**C)**  $(x_1) \land (x_2) \land (x_3)$   
**D)**  $(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3)$ 

### The language 2-SAT Def: A 2-CNF Formula is a CNF formula with at

most 2 variables in each clause

$$2\text{-SAT} = \{F|F \text{ is a satisfiable } 2\text{-CNF formula}\}$$

Which of these formulas are in the language 2-SAT?

**A)** 
$$(x_1 \lor x_2) \land (x_3 \lor x_4) \checkmark$$
  
**B)**  $(x_1 \lor x_1) \land (\neg x_1 \lor \neg x_1)$   
**C)**  $(x_1) \land (x_2) \land (x_3) \checkmark$   
**D)**  $(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3)$ 

Consider the following formula:

$${\sf F}=(x_1ee 
eg x_2)\wedge (x_2ee x_3)\wedge (
eg x_3ee 
eg x_4)\wedge (x_4ee x_1)$$

Consider the following formula:

$$F = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \land (x_2 \vee x_3) \land (\neg x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \land (x_4 \vee x_1)$$

• If  $x_1$  is FALSE then  $x_2$  must be FALSE

Consider the following formula:

 $F = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \land (x_2 \vee x_3) \land (\neg x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \land (x_4 \vee x_1)$ 

If x<sub>1</sub> is FALSE then x<sub>2</sub> must be FALSE
If x<sub>2</sub> is FALSE, then x<sub>3</sub> must be TRUE

Consider the following formula:

 $F = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \land (x_2 \vee x_3) \land (\neg x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \land (x_4 \vee x_1)$ 

If x<sub>1</sub> is FALSE then x<sub>2</sub> must be FALSE
If x<sub>2</sub> is FALSE, then x<sub>3</sub> must be TRUE
If x<sub>3</sub> is TRUE then x<sub>4</sub> must be FALSE

Consider the following formula:

 $F = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \land (x_2 \vee x_3) \land (\neg x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \land (x_4 \vee x_1)$ 

If x<sub>1</sub> is FALSE then x<sub>2</sub> must be FALSE
If x<sub>2</sub> is FALSE, then x<sub>3</sub> must be TRUE
If x<sub>3</sub> is TRUE then x<sub>4</sub> must be FALSE
If x<sub>4</sub> is FALSE then x<sub>1</sub> must be TRUE



Consider the following formula:

$$\mathsf{F} = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \land (x_2 \vee x_3) \land (\neg x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \land (x_4 \vee \neg x_1)$$

• If  $x_1$  is TRUE then  $x_4$  must be TRUE

Consider the following formula:

$$F = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \land (x_2 \vee x_3) \land (\neg x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \land (x_4 \vee \neg x_1)$$

If x<sub>1</sub> is TRUE then x<sub>4</sub> must be TRUE
If x<sub>4</sub> is TRUE, then x<sub>3</sub> must be FALSE

Consider the following formula:

 $F = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3) \wedge (\neg x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \wedge (x_4 \vee \neg x_1)$ 

If x<sub>1</sub> is TRUE then x<sub>4</sub> must be TRUE
If x<sub>4</sub> is TRUE, then x<sub>3</sub> must be FALSE
If x<sub>3</sub> is FALSE then x<sub>2</sub> must be TRUE

Consider the following formula:

 $F = (x_1 \vee \neg x_2) \land (x_2 \vee x_3) \land (\neg x_3 \vee \neg x_4) \land (x_4 \vee \neg x_1)$ 

- If  $x_1$  is TRUE then  $x_4$  must be TRUE
- ▶ If  $x_4$  is TRUE, then  $x_3$  must be FALSE
- ▶ If  $x_3$  is FALSE then  $x_2$  must be TRUE
- If x<sub>2</sub> is TRUE then x<sub>1</sub> must be TRUE which it is!

### 2-SAT implication graph

- Suppose we have a clause  $C = (x_i \lor x_j)$
- ▶ If  $x_i$  is FALSE then  $x_j$  must be TRUE

$$\neg x_i \implies x_j$$

- ▶ If  $x_j$  is FALSE then  $x_i$  must be true ▶  $\neg x_i \implies x_i$
- If  $x_i \implies x_j$  and  $x_j \implies x_k$  then  $x_i \implies x_k$  (transitive property)
- We can use an implication graph to represent these relationships
  - Every node is variable
  - Every edge is an implication
  - Every path is a (transitive) implication

### 2-SAT implication graph

 $(\neg x_1 \lor x_2) \land (x_1 \lor x_3) \land (\neg x_2 \lor x_3)$ 









- 1. Create the implication graph for F
- 2. For every variable  $x_i$  do the following
  - 2.1 Check if there is a path from  $x_i$  to  $\neg x_i$
  - 2.2 Check if there is a path from  $\neg x_i$  to  $x_i$
  - 2.3 If both paths exist, there is a contradiction. Immediately reject F
- 3. If there are no contradictions, accept F

- 1. Create the implication graph for F
- 2. For every variable  $x_i$  do the following
  - 2.1 Check if there is a path from  $x_i$  to  $\neg x_i$
  - 2.2 Check if there is a path from  $\neg x_i$  to  $x_i$
  - 2.3 If both paths exist, there is a contradiction. Immediately reject F
- 3. If there are no contradictions, accept F
- O(n) vertices + O(m) edges

- 1. Create the implication graph for F
- 2. For every variable  $x_i$  do the following
  - 2.1 Check if there is a path from  $x_i$  to  $\neg x_i$
  - 2.2 Check if there is a path from  $\neg x_i$  to  $x_i$
  - 2.3 If both paths exist, there is a contradiction. Immediately reject F
- 3. If there are no contradictions, accept F
- O(n) vertices + O(m) edges
   O(n) loop iterations

- 1. Create the implication graph for F
- 2. For every variable  $x_i$  do the following
  - 2.1 Check if there is a path from  $x_i$  to  $\neg x_i$
  - 2.2 Check if there is a path from  $\neg x_i$  to  $x_i$
  - 2.3 If both paths exist, there is a contradiction. Immediately reject F
- 3. If there are no contradictions, accept F
- O(n) vertices + O(m) edges
- O(n) loop iterations
- ▶  $PATH \in P$ , each loop iteration is poly-time

**Input:** a formula F with n variables and m clauses

- 1. Create the implication graph for F
- 2. For every variable  $x_i$  do the following
  - 2.1 Check if there is a path from  $x_i$  to  $\neg x_i$
  - 2.2 Check if there is a path from  $\neg x_i$  to  $x_i$
  - 2.3 If both paths exist, there is a contradiction. Immediately reject F
- 3. If there are no contradictions, accept F
- O(n) vertices + O(m) edges
- ► O(n) loop iterations
- ▶  $PATH \in P$ , each loop iteration is poly-time
- $O(n) + O(m) + O(n) \cdot \text{poly-time} \in P$

### The class EXP

Def: The class EXP is the set of all languages that can be be decided in exponential time
 O(2<sup>n<sup>c</sup></sup>) for some constant c
 Alternate definition:

$$\mathrm{EXP} = \bigcup_{c} \mathrm{TIME}(T(2^{n^{c}}))$$

EXP languages are considered "intractable"

### P vs. EXP

- Note:  $P \subseteq EXP$
- Does P = EXP?
  - Can every exponential-time algorithm be converted to a polynomial-time algorithm?

### Time hierarchy theorem

- ▶ Time Hierarchy Theorem: TIME(T(n))  $\subseteq$  TIME( $T(2n)^3$ )
  - Proof idea: Use diagonalization to create a machine that contradicts all the TIME(T(n)) machines
  - The construction creates a machine that runs in time  $O(T(2n)^3)$
- Glass half full: More time will *always* allow us to solve more more problems
- Glass half empty: Certain problems can't be solved within a certain amount of time



### Time hierarchy theorem

- ▶ Time Hierarchy Theorem: TIME(T(n))  $\subsetneq$  TIME( $T(2n)^3$ )
  - Proof idea: Use diagonalization to create a machine that contradicts all the TIME(T(n)) machines
  - The construction creates a machine that runs in time  $O(T(2n)^3)$
- Glass half full: More time will *always* allow us to solve more more problems
- Glass half empty: Certain problems can't be solved within a certain amount of time

### $P \subseteq TIME(2^n) \subsetneq TIME((2^{2n})^3) \subseteq EXP$